

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,969	01/15/2002	Yousuke Moriuchi	026575-065	2991
7:	590 02/24/2005		EXAM	INER
Platon N. Mandros			PANTUCK, BRADFORD C	
P.O. Box 1404	NE, SWECKER & MA	ГHIS, L.L.P.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Alexandria, VA 22313-1404		3731	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/044,969	MORIUCHI ET AL.	\mathbb{M}
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bradford C Pantuck	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30) August 2004.	·	
-	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-16</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-15 is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6, 7, and 16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ `a	accepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	rection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	.g., p.,,	(-) (-) (-)	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	•
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
	4) 🔲 Interview S		
Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/0	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/044,969

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Publication No. WO 99/36002 to Vardi et al. Regarding Claim 1, Figure 10 shows an expanding stent with waved connecting members (114) having waves with varying amplitudes and the other features claimed by the Applicant.
- 2. Regarding Claim 2, waved connecting member "X" connects two bottoms of waved elements and connecting member "Y" connects two ridges of waved elements [see Attachment A].
- Regarding Claim 3, upon visual inspection of the proportions shown in Figure 10, the width of each waved connecting member (114) is less than half the thickness of the waved elements of the annular expanding members. Further, because the Patent and Trademark Office does not have the testing facilities to provide factual evidence needed to establish that the claimed subject matter is not anticipated or unobvious, the examiner properly shifts the burden to applicants to show *unanticipated* or unobvious differences exist, Ex parte Phillips, 28 USPQ 1302 (Bd Pat App & Inter, 4/27/93).
- 4. Regarding Claim 4, similarly, since the members Fig. 10 of the prior art seems to have similar proportions to Fig. 3 of Applicant's invention, it can be assumed that

Art Unit: 3731

these same components of Fig. 10 will have a similar range of thickness.

Furthermore, because the Patent and Trademark Office does not have the testing facilities to provide factual evidence needed to establish that the claimed subject matter is not anticipated or unobvious, the examiner properly shifts the burden to applicants to show *unanticipated* or unobvious differences exist, Ex parte Phillips, 28 USPQ 1302 (Bd Pat App & Inter, 4/27/93).

- Regarding Claim 6, the length of the Vardi's waved connecting member (114) is at least 1.3 times as much as the straight distance between ridges of the waved elements of adjacent annular expanding members, as measured in Fig. 10 using a string.
- 6. Regarding Claim 7, Vardi's invention is intended for use in the same part of the body as Applicant's invention (arteries/veins) this strongly suggests that the two stents would be largely the same size and thus have the same size in addition to the same proportions. By comparing Fig. 10 of Vardi's invention to Fig. 3 of Applicant's the clearance width between adjacent annular expanding members appears to be of the same proportion. Although this does not prove with absolute certainty that this distance is necessarily between 0.4 mm and 0.8 mm it does prove that the proportions of Vardi are the same as the proportions of Applicant's invention. Finally, because the Patent and Trademark Office does not have the testing facilities to provide factual evidence needed to establish that the claimed subject matter is not anticipated or unobvious, the examiner properly shifts the burden to applicants to show

Application/Control Number: 10/044,969 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

unanticipated or unobvious differences exist, Ex parte Phillips, 28 USPQ 1302 (Bd Pat App & Inter, 4/27/93).

 Regarding Claim 16, Vardi discloses waved connecting members each having four waves. Each trough or peak is considered to be one wave.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed August 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. WO 99/36002 to Vardi et al. discloses waved connecting members that meet the claimed subject matter that was added to claim 1, as illustrated in Attachment A (blown up view of Fig. 10 of WO 99/36002).

Application/Control Number: 10/044,969 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

10. Applicant's arguments, see "REMARKS", filed August 30, 2004, with respect to

the rejection of claims 1, 2, and 4-7 with U.S. Patent No. 6,183,506 B1 to Penn et al.

have been fully considered and are persuasive. However, upon further consideration,

a new ground(s) of rejection of claims 2, 4, 6, and 7 has been made with WO

99/36002 to Vardi et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bradford C Pantuck whose telephone number is (571)

272-4701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ВĆР

February 10, 2005

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

